

Date: Thu, 17 Mar 94 04:30:18 PST
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #137
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Thu, 17 Mar 94 Volume 94 : Issue 137

Today's Topics:

 Coord. priority for open repeaters (2 msgs)
 HMS Carlskrona
 Morse Whiners (2 msgs)
 Telecommand of Model Craf
 The Dirty words???? (3 msgs)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 16 Mar 1994 21:30:25 GMT
From: news.mentorg.com!hpbab33.mentorg.com!wv.mentorg.com!hanko@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Coord. priority for open repeaters
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <ci0ic3w165w@mystis.wariat.org>, dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan Pickersgill) writes:
|> glyle@marie.seas.ucla.edu (George Lyle (233789)) writes:
|>
|> > In article <42F6ic3w165w@mystis.wariat.org>, dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan Pick
|> > |>
|> > |> Roger, do you have any idea what it takes to make a 'wide coverage'
|> > |> repeater? It costs money! The antenna sites ALONE can cost THOUSANDS of
|> > |> ...
|> > It seems that a lot of wide coverage _open_ repeaters are just as well
|> > financed as closed systems. I do not see what the cost of operating a
|> > repeater has to do with coordination priority. There is no lack of
|> > ...

|> Extreamly 'Politically Correct'. (That means "Won't work in the real
|> world!") Again, are you willing to pay the leagle expenses?

I've been following this thread for awhile, and am quite curious about these expenses. Is this "leagle" a dog, like a "beagle", or a bird, like an "eagle", and why are they expensive?

And this stream we are talking about ... it is gone now?

"Ah, so like an expired stream is the beagle."

... Hank

--

Hank Oredson @ Mentor Graphics
Internet : hank_oredson@mentorg.com
Amateur Radio: W0RLI@W0RLI.OR.USA.NOAM

Date: Wed, 16 Mar 94 18:22:20 EST
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!mvb.saic.com!news.cerf.net!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!
math.ohio-state.edu!magnus.acs.ohio-state.edu!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!
mystis!dan@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Coord. priority for open repeaters
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

rwilkins@ccnet.com (Bob Wilkins n6fri) writes:

> Dan Pickersgill (dan@mystis.wariat.org) wrote:
> : Ed Ellers <edellers@delphi.com> writes:
>
> : > Does that mean that it's legal to operate simplex on the output of a clos
> : > repeater -- if and when that repeater is not in use -- and/or that repeat
> : > users have to wait for the simplex ops to clear?
>
> : Somewhere around here I have a notice from the FCC stating that they
> : intrept the band plan as 'good amateur practice' thus it carries the
> : force of Part 97 (all hams being required to follow good amateur
> : practice). This means using simplex FM on a repeater pair is a violation
> : of that section of Part 97. (Or at least that was what I remember from
> : that FCC notice.)
>
> : Dan N8PKV
>
> The practice of talking on the output frequency by -members- of that
> repeater is a common way of car to car or local handie chat. The repeater

> takes precedence over simplex as most users of the repeater can not hear
> the simplex qso in progress. I consider this good amateur practice. Why
> take up additional spectrum for a qso that is not intended for a wide
> area. This way you can always monitor the repeater at the same time.
>
> How many simplex fm frequencies are in your local 440 band plan? There are
> only three in northern California. 441.000 446.000 446.500 MHz
>
> Bob

I agree. I do it sometimes myself. Particularly in an area close (coordination wise) but where I can't hit the repeater. (Again UHF is MY band of choice for this. Low power simplex with no INTENT to interfere and accepting interference from the (coordinated) repeater is basically in compliance with Part 97 (band plan excepted).

73,

Dan N8PKV

--
"No free man shall ever be de-barred the use of arms. The strongest reason for the people to retain their right to keep and bear arms is as a last resort to protect themselves against tyranny in government."

-Thomas Jefferson

Date: Wed, 16 Mar 94 18:28:32 EST
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!mystis!
dan@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: HMS Carlskrona
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

mjsilva@ted.win.net (Michael Silva) writes:

>
> In article <2381e6d6@swedx.ct.se>, Hermod Pedersen (hermod.pedersen@swedx.ct.
> >The Swedish navy's school ship HMS Carlskrona is out on its yearly voyage
> >around the globe. Aboard is as usual several hams. The ships signals can be
> >caught primarily on CW, using these frequencies:
> ^^^^^^^^^^
> >
> > CW: 14.038 and 21.038 kHz
> > SSB: 14.178 and 21.178 kHz
> >
>
> And I thought the Swedes were so progressive! Dan P. et al, please

> straighten these folks out ;-)

Why? Are they advocating 13 or 20 WPM testing?

Dan (Listen to my MCW parctice net) Pickersgill N8PKV

--
"No free man shall ever be de-barred the use of arms. The strongest reason for the people to retain their right to keep and bear arms is as a last resort to protect themselves against tyranny in government."

-Thomas Jefferson

Date: Wed, 16 Mar 94 18:16:29 EST
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!mystis!
dan@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Morse Whiners
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

(deleted / bandwidth)

> And that's very good. But please don't ridicule the seniors just because
> their QSOs are boring; after all, we'll probably all have hemorrhoidal
> problems eventually...
>
> Jeff NH6IL

As long as it is just as wrong to critize JUNIORS for being boring...

Fair is fair.

--
"No free man shall ever be de-barred the use of arms. The strongest reason for the people to retain their right to keep and bear arms is as a last resort to protect themselves against tyranny in government."

-Thomas Jefferson

Date: Wed, 16 Mar 94 18:30:32 EST
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!
mystis!dan@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Morse Whiners
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

ez006683@chip.ucdavis.edu (Daniel D. Todd) writes:

> Cecil Moore (kg7bk@indirect.com) wrote:
>
> : Brian, if you are a general or higher class Ham, you must know about
> : HF emergency equipment being the only communications left functional
> : following certain natural disasters.
>
> Cecil,
> Could you please explain this.
>
> thanks,
> Dan

And why would only Generals or higher know it?

Dan (yes THAT one)

--
"No free man shall ever be de-barred the use of arms. The strongest reason for the people to retain their right to keep and bear arms is as a last resort to protect themselves against tyranny in government."
-Thomas Jefferson

Date: Wed, 16 Mar 94 11:30:00 -0800
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!paris.ics.uci.edu!
news.claremont.edu!kaiwan.com!ledge!bob.albert@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Telecommand of Model Craf
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

No, 40m is not allowed for remote control. Six meters is, and that's why it's used for that. Check into Part 97 rather than going by what people say, since it's the FCC you ultimately have to deal with.

73 DE K6DDX

Date: Wed, 16 Mar 1994 21:39:13 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!sgiblab!news.cs.indiana.edu!noose.ecn.purdue.edu!
constellation.ecn.purdue.edu!wb9omc@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: The Dirty words????
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

jhobson@su19f.ess.harris.com (Harv Hobson) writes:
>In article <1994Mar16.004115.60406@ns1.cc.lehigh.edu> c002@ns1.cc.lehigh.edu
(DAVID M. ROSEMAN) writes:

>>I've been hearing about the 5 "Dirty Words" that the FCC
>>does not allow on the air.....but..WHAT ARE THEY????

>destinated
>10-4
>hemorrhoid
>over-over
>five-by-nine-q-r-zed

Just listen to 28.325 from time to time and wait for the
"Texas mush-mouth" to come on the air. You'll hear not only the
baddies but about everything else slimy and disgusting, too.

Duane
wb9omc

Date: 16 Mar 1994 23:59:52 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!wupost!gumby!
newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!news1.oakland.edu!vela.acs.oakland.edu!
prvalko@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: The Dirty words????
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

: >I've been hearing about the 5 "Dirty Words" that the FCC
: >does not allow on the air.....but..WHAT ARE THEY????

Well, It not five WORDS, exactly, but here they are anyway.
***** WARNING READ AT YOUR OWN RISK *****

QSL (at the begining of every transmission)
HI HI (counts as one word)
On the side (see above)
Seventythrees (Best Regardses to you, too!)
We (as in, "We got a new MO-bile, We got the beams on
you...", etc.)

Seven-thirds,

paul wb8zjl

Date: Wed, 16 Mar 94 18:26:59 EST
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!mvb.saic.com!news.cerf.net!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!

usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!mystis!dan@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: The Dirty words????
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

jhobson@su19f.ess.harris.com (Harv Hobson) writes:

> In article <1994Mar16.004115.60406@ns1.cc.lehigh.edu> c002@ns1.cc.lehigh.edu
>
>
> >I've been hearing about the 5 "Dirty Words" that the FCC
> does not allow on the air.....but..WHAT ARE THEY????
>
> destinated
> 10-4
> hemorrhoid
> over-over
> five-by-nine-q-r-zed

Destinated, I believe, means to be appointed to a position. So next time you hear "I'm destinated" you might ask to what position.

Dan

--
"No free man shall ever be de-barred the use of arms. The strongest reason for the people to retain their right to keep and bear arms is as a last resort to protect themselves against tyranny in government."

-Thomas Jefferson

Date: Wed, 16 Mar 94 22:25:40 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!wupost!gumby!
newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!alberta!adec23!mark@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1994Mar7.183548.22887@ve6mgs.ampr.org>,
<1994Mar11.184236.4084@ve6mgs.ampr.org>, <J4yPoM4.edellers@delphi.com>
Subject : Re: New Canadian prefixes?

Ed Ellers <edellers@delphi.com> writes:

>So are you saying that licenses are being issued without calls, or that these
>CBers don't need licenses any more (as is the case in the US)?

They don't need licenses anymore.

I *think* you are making an issue of this because you are confusing the fact

that XM calls were supplied to Amateur Radio Operators while VE3's ran out, and that XM calls *used* to be used for CBers.

Ciao, 73 de VE6MGS Ex XM223855 Mark -sk-

Date: 16 Mar 1994 21:35:16 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!newsrelay.iastate.edu!news.iastate.edu!
wjturner@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <2lpnr8\$rn1@network.ucsd.edu>, <CMrLnt.15E@news.direct.net>, <CMrysw.LFy@ucdavis.edu>so.
Subject : Re: Morse Whiners

In article <CMrysw.LFy@ucdavis.edu> ez006683@chip.ucdavis.edu (Daniel D. Todd) writes:
>Cecil Moore (kg7bk@indirect.com) wrote:
>
>: Brian, if you are a general or higher class Ham, you must know about
>: HF emergency equipment being the only communications left functional
>: following certain natural disasters.
>
>Cecil,
> Could you please explain this.

Please do, since it would seem there are many general class or higher licensees who do *not* know this... Why must he know if he is a general or higer class Ham??

--
Will Turner, NØRDV -----
wjturner@iastate.edu | "Are you going to have any professionalism, |
twp77@isuvax.iastate.edu | or am I going to have to beat it into you?" |
TURNERW@vaxld.ameslab.gov -----

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #137

